

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/724,390	Applicant(s) HINOJOSA, LUCIANO	
	Examiner Raymond W. Addie	Art Unit 3671	

All Participants:

(1) Raymond W. Addie.

(2) _____.

Status of Application: non-final

(3) Roger Pitt.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 3 April 2006
Time: 4 pm
Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.
Rejection(s) discussed:
35 U.S.C. 102(b) Roller '640
Claims discussed:
3-15
Prior art documents discussed:
Roller '640
Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
See Continuation Sheet
Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

 4/6/06
 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: As amended Claim 10 is vague with respect to the scope of the claim, in that claim 10 appears to be a "hybrid" claim requiring a stamped sheet safety rail barrier having the combination according to claim 9. However, Claim 9 is in itself a combination type claim. Hence, the Examiner requested claim 10 be rewritten to better clarify the scope of the claimed invention. Applicant concurred with the Examiner's request, by proposing changes to claim 10 that overcome the Examiner's orally stated claim objection. Said proposed changes are incorporated by Examiner's Amendment such that the case is in a condition for allowance..